

**California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Santa Ana Region**

**RESOLUTION NO. R8-2006-0023**

Resolution Amending the Water Quality Control Plan for the Santa Ana River Basin to Incorporate Nutrient  
Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)  
for Big Bear Lake

**WHEREAS, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region (hereinafter, Regional Board), finds that:**

1. An updated Water Quality Control Plan for the Santa Ana River Basin (Basin Plan) was adopted by the Regional Board on March 11, 1994, approved by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) on July 21, 1994, and approved by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on January 24, 1995.
2. The Basin Plan specifies the following beneficial uses for Big Bear Lake: cold freshwater habitat (COLD), warm freshwater habitat (WARM), water contact recreation (REC1), non- contact water recreation (REC2), wildlife habitat (WILD), municipal and domestic supply (MUN), agricultural supply (AGR), rare, threatened or endangered species (RARE) and groundwater recharge (GWR).
3. For COLD designated inland surface waters, the Basin Plan specifies the narrative objective that dissolved oxygen levels shall not be depressed below 6 mg/L. For WARM designated inland surface waters, the Basin Plan specifies the narrative objective that dissolved oxygen levels shall not be depressed below 5 mg/L.
4. The narrative objectives pertaining to dissolved oxygen are not being met consistently in Big Bear Lake, as demonstrated by relevant monitoring.
5. The Basin Plan specifies numeric total phosphorus and total inorganic nitrogen water quality objectives for Big Bear Lake. These water quality objectives were based on ambient concentrations of total phosphorus and total inorganic nitrogen as determined in the 1970s. Evidence now indicates that these objectives are not sufficiently stringent to protect beneficial uses and should be revised. Relevant monitoring demonstrates that these objectives are not consistently met in Big Bear Lake.
6. Proliferation of nuisance aquatic plants has been recorded in Big Bear Lake since the 1970s. Nutrient discharges have promoted the growth of aquatic plants. These nuisance aquatic plants serve as both a sink and a source of nutrients.
7. Big Bear Lake's beneficial uses adversely impacted by nuisance aquatic plants and low dissolved oxygen levels include COLD, WARM, WILD, REC1, REC2 and RARE.
8. As a result of the beneficial use impacts to Big Bear Lake, the Regional Board listed Big Bear Lake as water quality limited in accordance with Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. Section 303(d) requires the establishment of a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the pollutant(s) causing the impairment. Phosphorus and nitrogen are the nutrients causing the impairment. Section 303(d) also requires the allocation of the TMDL among the sources of nutrient inputs. State law requires an implementation plan and schedule to ensure that the TMDL is met and that compliance with water quality standards is achieved.

9. The Basin Plan amendment shown in the attachment to this Resolution was developed in accordance with Clean Water Act Section 303(d) and Water Code Section 13240 *et seq.* The amendment is proposed for incorporation into Chapter 5 “Implementation”, of the Basin Plan. The proposed Basin Plan amendment includes background information concerning the water quality impairment being addressed and the sources of nutrients to Big Bear Lake. The proposed TMDLs are supported by a detailed report prepared by Regional Board staff and titled “Staff Report on the Nutrient Total Maximum Daily Loads for Big Bear Lake”, June 2005 (hereinafter, “TMDL Report”).
10. The Basin Plan amendment specifies numeric targets for total phosphorus and total nitrogen. Control of nitrogen and phosphorus is needed to ensure compliance with relevant numeric and narrative water quality objectives specified in the Basin Plan, and to prevent adverse beneficial use impacts resulting from the proliferation of nuisance aquatic plants.
11. The Basin Plan amendment specifies response numeric targets for chlorophyll *a*, macrophyte coverage and percentage of nuisance aquatic vascular plant species for Big Bear Lake. These response numeric targets provide a method to track improvements in water quality resulting from reductions in the loading of nitrogen and phosphorus.
12. The numeric targets apply to all hydrological conditions.
13. The Basin Plan amendment specifies TMDLs, wasteload allocations for point source discharges (WLAs), load allocations for nonpoint source discharges (LAs) for total phosphorus and total nitrogen for Big Bear Lake for Dry Hydrological Conditions only.
14. The TMDLs for Dry Hydrological Conditions specify a reduction in nitrogen and phosphorus from internal nutrient sources, which are lake sediment and macrophytes.
15. The TMDLs for Dry Hydrological Conditions do not specify nutrient reductions from external watershed sources, which include resorts, urban discharges and open space/forested lands.
16. The Basin Plan amendment specifies an implementation plan for nutrient reduction. The implementation plan includes compliance schedules for the numeric targets, TMDLs, wasteload allocations and load allocations, as well as a monitoring program to track progress toward compliance.
17. The Implementation Plan specifies a requirement for the development of TMDLs, WLAs, and LAs for wet and/or average hydrological conditions once sufficient data are obtained.
18. Given the complex nature of Big Bear Lake, the Implementation Plan specifies the development of a Lake Management Plan that will address competing uses, nutrient reduction strategies and other plans to control nutrient discharges and aquatic plants as appropriate.
19. The Basin Plan amendment will assure the reasonable protection of the beneficial uses of surface waters within the Region and is consistent with the state’s antidegradation policy (SWRCB Resolution No. 68-16).
20. The Regional Board has considered the costs associated with implementation of this amendment, as well as costs resulting from failure to implement nutrient control measures necessary to prevent adverse effects on beneficial uses. The implementation plan in the Basin Plan, which includes extended compliance schedules and employs a phased TMDL approach to provide for refinement

based on additional studies and analyses, will ensure that implementation expenditures are reasonable and fairly apportioned among dischargers.

21. Review of the potential environmental impacts of the adoption and implementation of the Big Bear Lake Nutrient TMDLs was conducted. The adoption of the TMDLs would have no direct effect on the environment. The implementation of projects that may be conducted to implement the Nutrient TMDLs is expected to have less than significant impacts or less than significant impacts with application of mitigation measures on the following: air quality, biological resources, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, noise, aesthetics and transportation and traffic. As projects to implement the TMDLs are developed, specific environmental impacts and mitigation measures to address those impacts are subject to thorough and separate evaluation pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).
22. Provided that appropriate mitigation is implemented, projects designed and conducted to achieve the TMDLs are expected to have less than significant impact, either individually or cumulatively, on fish and/or wildlife species.
23. The adoption of these TMDLs is necessary to reduce loadings of nutrients to Big Bear Lake and to address water quality impairments that arise therefrom.
24. The proposed amendment meets the “Necessity” standard of the Administrative Procedure Act, Government Code, Section 11352, subdivision (b).
25. The Regional Board submitted the relevant technical documents that serve as the basis for the proposed amendment to an external scientific review panel and has considered the comments and recommendations of that panel in drafting the amendment.
26. The proposed amendment will result in revisions to the Basin Plan Chapter 5 “Implementation”.
27. The Regional Board discussed this matter at a workshop conducted on August 26, 2005 after notice was given to all interested persons in accordance with Section 13244 of the California Water Code. Based on the discussion at those workshops, the Board directed staff to prepare the appropriate Basin Plan amendment and related documentation to incorporate the Big Bear Lake Nutrient TMDLs.
28. The Regional Board prepared and distributed written reports (staff reports) regarding adoption of the Basin Plan amendment in accordance with applicable state and federal environmental regulations (California Code of Regulations, Section 3775, Title 23, and 40 CFR Parts 25 and 131).
29. The process of basin planning has been certified by the Secretary for Resources as exempt from the requirement of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.) to prepare an Environmental Impact Report or Negative Declaration. The Basin Plan amendment package includes staff reports, an Environmental Checklist, an assessment of the potential environmental impacts of the Basin Plan amendment, and a discussion of alternatives. The Basin Plan amendment, Environmental Checklist, staff reports, and supporting documentation are functionally equivalent to an Environmental Impact Report or Negative Declaration.
30. On March 3, 2006, the Regional Board held a Public Hearing to consider the Basin Plan amendment. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to all interested persons and published in accordance with Water Code Section 13244.

31. The Basin Plan amendment must be submitted for review and approval by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), Office of Administrative Law (OAL) and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Once approved by the SWRCB, the amendment is submitted to OAL and USEPA. The Basin Plan amendment will become effective upon approval by OAL and USEPA. A Notice of Decision will be filed.
32. The Notice of Filing, the TMDL Report, environmental checklist, and the draft amendment were prepared and distributed to interested individuals and public agencies for review and comment, in accordance with state and federal regulations (23 CCR §3775, 40 CFR 25 and 40 CFR 131).
33. For the purposes of specifying compliance schedules in NPDES permits for effluent limitations necessary to implement these TMDLs, the schedule(s) specified in these TMDLs shall govern, notwithstanding other compliance schedule authorization language in the Basin Plan.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:**

1. The Regional Board adopts the amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Santa Ana River Basin (Region 8), as set forth in the attachment.
2. The Executive Officer is directed to forward copies of the Basin Plan amendment to the SWRCB in accordance with the requirements of Section §13245 of the California Water Code.
3. The Regional Board requests that the SWRCB approve the Basin Plan amendment, in accordance with Sections §13245 and §13246 of the California Water Code, and forward it to the OAL and U.S. EPA for approval.
4. If, during its approval process, Regional Board staff, SWRCB or OAL determines that minor, non-substantive corrections to the language of the amendment are needed for clarity or consistency, the Executive Officer may make such changes, and shall inform the Board of any such changes.
5. The Executive Officer is authorized to sign a Certificate of Fee Exemption in lieu of payment of the California Department of Fish and Game filing fee.

I, Gerard J. Thibeault, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region, on March 3, 2006.

---

Gerard J. Thibeault  
Executive Officer

**ATTACHMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. R8-2006-0023~~2005-0002~~** |

(Proposed changes to the Basin Plan amendment presented on August 26, 2005 are shown in **strikeout** for deletions and **underline** for additions)

---

*(NOTE: The following language is proposed to be inserted into Chapter 5 of the Basin Plan. If the amendments are approved, corresponding changes will be made to the Table of Contents, the List of Tables, page numbers, and page headers in the plan. Due to the two-column page layout of the Basin Plan, the location of tables in relation to text may change during final formatting of the amendments. For formatting purposes, the maps may be redrawn for inclusion in the Basin Plan, and the final layout may differ from that of the draft.)*

**Chapter 5 - Implementation Plan, Page 5-42****Big Bear Lake**

Big Bear Lake, located in the San Bernardino Mountains, was created by the construction of the Bear Valley Dam in 1884. The Lake has a surface area of approximately 3,000 acres, a storage capacity of 73,320 acre-ft and an average depth of 24 feet. The lake reaches its deepest point of 72 feet at the dam. The Big Bear Lake drainage basin encompasses 37 square miles and includes more than 10 streams. Local stream runoff and precipitation on the Lake are the sole source of water supply to the Lake. The spillway altitude is 6743.2 feet. The major inflows to the lake are creeks, including Rathbone (Rathbun) Creek, Summit Creek, and Grout Creek. Outflow from the Lake is to Bear Creek, which is tributary to the Santa Ana River at about the 4000-foot elevation level. Twelve percent of Big Bear Lake's drainage basin consists of the Lake itself. The US Forest Service is the largest landowner in the Big Bear area. Two ski resorts, Bear Mountain and Snow Summit, lease land from the Forest Service.

The beneficial uses of Big Bear Lake include cold freshwater habitat (COLD), warm freshwater habitat (WARM), water contact recreation (REC1), non contact water recreation (REC2), municipal and domestic supply (MUN), agriculture supply (AGR), groundwater recharge (GWR), wildlife habitat (WILD) and rare, threatened or endangered species (RARE).

Big Bear Lake is moderately eutrophic. During the summer months, deeper water ~~during the summer months~~ may exhibit severe oxygen deficits. Nutrient enrichment has resulted in the growth of aquatic plants, which has impaired the fishing, boating, and swimming uses of the lake. To control this vegetation, mechanical harvesters are used to remove aquatic plants, including roots.

Toxics may be entering the Big Bear Lake watershed and accumulating in aquatic organisms and bottom sediments at concentrations that are of concern, not only for the protection of aquatic organisms, but for the protection of human health as well. Past Toxic Substances Monitoring Program data have indicated the presence of copper, lindane, mercury, zinc, and PCBs in fish tissue.

During 1992-93, the Regional Board conducted a Phase I Clean Lakes study (Section 314 of the Clean Water Act) to evaluate the current water quality condition of the lake and its major tributaries [Ref. 20]. The focus of the study was to identify the tributaries responsible for inputs of toxics and nutrients. As a result of data collected in the Clean Lakes Study, Big Bear Lake and specific tributaries were placed on the 1994 Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Segments for the reasons indicated in Table 5-9a-b.

Draft

Table 5-9a-b

Big Bear Lake Watershed Waterbodies on the  
1994 303(d) List of Impaired Waters

WATERBODY	STRESSOR
Big Bear Lake	nutrients
	noxious aquatic plants
	sedimentation/siltation
	metals
	copper
	mercury
Rathbone (Rathbun) Creek	nutrients
	sedimentation/siltation
Grout Creek	metals
	nutrients
Summit Creek	nutrients
Knickerbocker Creek	metals
	pathogens

In 2000, the Regional Board convened a TMDL workgroup to assist in the ~~initiated~~ development of Total Maximum Daily Loads for the Big Bear Lake watershed. The Big Bear Municipal Water District, a key contributor to the workgroup, created the Big Bear Lake TMDL Task Force, including representatives of the District, Regional Board staff, the San Bernardino County Flood Control District, the City of Big Bear Lake, the Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Authority, the State of California, Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the USFS and the Big Bear Mountain Resorts. Initial TMDL development efforts were focused on nutrients, leading to Regional Board adoption of nutrient TMDLs for dry hydrological conditions for Big Bear Lake in 2006. Nutrient TMDLs for wet and/or average hydrological conditions will be incorporated in the Basin Plan when these TMDLs are developed in the future. As shown in Table 5-9a-f, the development of these TMDLs is a requirement of the adopted TMDL implementation plan for nutrient TMDLs for dry hydrological conditions.

### 1. Big Bear Lake Nutrient Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

Past studies, starting in 1968/1969, have shown that Big Bear Lake is eutrophic and that the limiting nutrient is generally phosphorus. In Big Bear Lake, nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) are available in the water column and sediment and are taken up by aquatic macrophytes and algae. Nutrients are also bound in living and dead organic material, primarily macrophytes and algae. Decomposition of this organic material, as well as macrophyte and algal respiration, consumes dissolved oxygen, resulting in the depletion of dissolved oxygen from the water column. Oxygen depletion in the hypolimnion results in anoxic conditions, leading to periodic fish kills in Big Bear Lake. Oxygen depletion also results in the release of nutrients from the sediment into the water column, promoting more algae and aquatic macrophyte production. Nutrients released by plant decomposition are cycled back into a bioavailable form.

Although aquatic macrophytes provide protection from shoreline erosion, habitat for fish and other aquatic biota and waterfowl habitat, excessive growth of noxious and nuisance species, particularly Eurasian

watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) impairs recreational uses of the Lake and reduces plant and animal species and habitat diversity.

As stated above, development of nutrient TMDLs to address these problems was initiated in 2000. In this process, it was recognized that insufficient data for wet or average hydrological conditions were available to allow calibration of the lake water quality model used to calculate the TMDLs. Accordingly, TMDLs were developed to address dry hydrologic conditions only (see Section 1.B., below). These TMDLs were adopted by the Regional Board in 2006 and became effective on [date]. The implementation plan included with these TMDLs specifies a requirement for the development of nutrient TMDLs for wet and/or average hydrological conditions.

A key step in the development of the nutrient TMDLs was the identification of the numeric targets to be achieved. The numeric targets, identified in Section 1.A., below, do not vary based upon hydrological condition. Like the approved TMDLs for dry hydrological conditions, the TMDLs for wet and/or average hydrological conditions that will be developed are expected to assure that these numeric targets are achieved, unless modifications to the targets are demonstrated to be necessary and appropriate.

~~A TMDL technical report prepared by Regional Board staff describes the nutrient related problems in Big Bear Lake in greater detail and discusses the technical basis for the TMDLs that follow [Ref. # 1].~~

### **1.A. Numeric Targets**

As shown in Table 5-9a-c, both “causal and response” ~~interim and final~~ numeric targets are specified for Big Bear Lake. Causal targets are those for phosphorus and nitrogen, the principal nutrients responsible for plant growth. Phosphorus is the primary limiting nutrient in Big Bear Lake, and nitrogen can be a limiting nutrient under certain conditions. Response targets include macrophyte coverage, percentage of nuisance aquatic vascular plant species and chlorophyll *a* concentrations. These response targets are more direct indicators of impairment and are specified to assess and track water quality improvements in Big Bear Lake.

A weight of evidence approach will be used to assess compliance with the TMDLs, which means that data pertaining to all the numeric targets will be evaluated and non-compliance with one target will not automatically imply non-compliance with the TMDLs.

Table 5-9a-c  
Big Bear Lake Nutrient TMDL Numeric Targets<sup>a</sup>

Indicator	Target Value
Total P concentration ( <del>interim</del> )	Annual average <sup>b</sup> no greater than 35 µg/L; to be attained no later than <del>2015 (dry season), 2020 (all other times) 2010</del>
<del>Total P concentration</del> ( <del>final</del> )	<del>Annual average<sup>b</sup> no greater than 20 µg/L; to be attained no later than 2015</del>
Total N concentration ( <del>final</del> )	Annual average <sup>b</sup> no greater than 1000 µg/L; to be attained no later than <del>2015 (dry season), 2020 (all other times) 2015</del>
Macrophyte Coverage	30-60% on a total area basis; to be attained by <del>2015 (dry season), 2020 (all other times) 2015</del> <sup>c</sup>
Percentage of Nuisance Aquatic Vascular Plant Species ( <del>final</del> )	95% eradication on a total area basis of Eurasian Watermilfoil and any other invasive aquatic plant species; to be attained no later than <del>2015 (dry season), 2020 (all other times) 2015</del> <sup>c</sup>
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration ( <del>interim</del> )	Growing season <sup>d</sup> average no greater than <del>1440</del> µg/L; to be attained no later than <del>2015 (dry season), 2020 (all other times) 2010</del>
<del>Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration</del> ( <del>final</del> )	<del>Growing season<sup>d</sup> average no greater than 5.0 µg/L; to be attained no later than 2015</del>

<sup>a</sup> Compliance with the targets to be achieved as soon as possible, but no later than the date specified

<sup>b</sup> Annual average determined by the following methodology: the nutrient data from both the photic composite and discrete bottom samples are averaged by station number and ~~month~~<sup>time</sup>; a calendar year average is obtained for each sampling location ~~by averaging the average of each month~~; and finally, the separate annual averages for each location are averaged to determine the lake-wide average. The open-water sampling locations used to determine the annual average are MWDL1, MWDL2, MWDL6, and MWDL9 (see 1.B.4E. Implementation, Task 4.2, Table 5-9a-i).

<sup>c</sup> Calculated as a 5-yr running average based on measurements taken at peak macrophyte growth as determined in the Aquatic Plant Management Plan (see 1.B.4. E. Implementation, Task 6C8)

<sup>d</sup> Growing season is the period from May 1 through October 31 of each year. ~~The open-water sampling locations used to determine the growing season average are MWDL1, MWDL2, MWDL6 and MWDL9 (see 1.B.4. Implementation, Task 4.2, Table 5-9a-i). The chlorophyll *a* data from the photic samples are averaged by station number and month; a growing season average is obtained for each sampling location by averaging the average of each month; and finally, the separate growing season averages for each location are averaged to determine the lake-wide average.~~



### **1.B. Big Bear Lake Nutrient Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for Dry Hydrological Conditions**

The TMDL technical report [Ref. #1] describes in detail the technical basis for the TMDLs for Dry Hydrological Conditions that follow.

#### **1.-B.1. Nutrient TMDLs, WLAs and LAs and Compliance Dates – Dry Hydrological Conditions**

TMDLs, and the WLAs and LAs necessary to achieve them, are established for total phosphorus and total nitrogen for dry hydrological conditions only. As stated above, phosphorus and nitrogen are the nutrients that cause beneficial use impairment in Big Bear Lake. Dry hydrological conditions are defined by the conditions observed from 1999-2003; that is, average tributary inflow to Big Bear Lake ranging from 0 to -3,049 AF, average lake levels ranging from 6671 to 6735 feet and annual precipitation ranging from 0 to 23 inches. TMDLs, WLAs and LAs for wet and/or average hydrological conditions will be established as part of the TMDL Phase 2 activities once additional data have been collected (see 1.~~E~~B.4. TMDL Implementation, Task ~~9-12~~, below).

The phosphorus and nitrogen TMDLs for Big Bear Lake for dry hydrological conditions are shown in Table 5-9a-d. Wasteload allocations for point source discharges and load allocations for nonpoint source discharges are shown in Table 5-9a-e.

Table 5-9a-d

Big Bear Lake Nutrient TMDLs for Dry Hydrological Conditions

	<b>Total Phosphorus (lbs/yr)<sup>b,e</sup></b>	<b>Total Nitrogen (lbs/yr)<sup>b,e</sup></b>
<del>Interim</del> TMDL <sup>a</sup>	26,012	<del>280,900</del> N/A
<del>Final</del> TMDL <sup>b</sup>	<del>21,735</del>	<del>280,900</del>

<sup>a</sup> ~~Interim~~ Compliance to be achieved as soon as possible, but no later than December 31, ~~2015~~2010.

<sup>b</sup> ~~Final~~ compliance to be achieved as soon as possible, but no later than December 31, 2015.

<sup>b,e</sup> Specified as an annual average for dry hydrological conditions only.

Table 5-9a-e

Big Bear Lake  
Nitrogen and Phosphorus Wasteload and Load Allocations for Dry Hydrological Conditions

Big Bear Lake Dry Conditions Nutrient TMDLs	Interim Total Phosphorus Load Allocation (lbs/kg/yr) <sup>a, b<del>e</del></sup>	Final Total Phosphorus Load Allocation (kg/yr) <sup>b, c</sup>	Final Total Nitrogen Load Allocation (lbs/kg/yr) <sup>a, b, c</sup>
TMDL	26,012	21,735	280,900
WLA	475	475	3,445
Urban	475	475	3,445
LA	25,537	21,260	277,455
Internal Sediment	8,555	4,278	152,386
Internal macrophyte	15,700	15,700	102,324
Atmospheric Deposition	1,074	1,074	21,474
Forest	175	175	460
Resort	33	33	811

<sup>a</sup> ~~Interim~~ Allocation compliance to be achieved as soon as possible, but no later than December 31, ~~2015~~2010.

<sup>b</sup> ~~Final allocation compliance to be achieved as soon as possible, but no later than December 31, 2015.~~

<sup>b~~e~~</sup> Specified as an annual average for dry hydrological conditions only.

### 1.B.2.C. Margin of Safety

The Big Bear Lake Nutrient TMDLs for Dry Hydrological Conditions include an implicit margin of safety (MOS) as follows:

1. The derivation of numeric targets based on the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile of nutrient data;
2. The use of conservative assumptions in modeling the response of Big Bear Lake to nutrient loads.

### 1.-B.3.D. Seasonal Variations/Critical Conditions

The critical condition for attainment of aquatic life and recreational uses in Big Bear Lake occurs during the summer and during dry years, when nutrient releases from the sediment are greatest and water column concentrations increase. Macrophyte biomass peaks in the summer/early fall. Recreational uses of the lake are also highest during the summer. These nutrient TMDLs for Big Bear Lake are focused on the critical dry hydrological conditions and, in particular, on the control of the internal sediment loads that dominate during these periods. These are the first phase of TMDLs needed to address eutrophication in Big Bear Lake. The next phase will include collection of data needed to refine the in-lake and watershed models (see 1.B.4. TMDL Implementation, Task 6A) and

to develop TMDLs that address other hydrological conditions (see 1.[B.4.E. TMDL Implementation, Task 9](#)).

The TMDLs recognize that different nutrient inflow and cycling processes dominate the lake during different seasons. These processes were simulated in the in-lake model using data collected during all seasons over a multi-year period. Thus, the model results reflect all seasonal variations. The numeric targets are expressed as annual averages. The intent is to set targets that will, when achieved, result in improvement of the trophic status of the Big Bear Lake year-round.

Compliance with numeric targets will ensure water quality improvements that prevent excessive algae blooms and fish kills, particularly during the critical summer period when these problems are most likely to occur.

#### **1.B.4.E. TMDL Implementation**

Table 5-9a-f outlines the tasks and schedules to implement the TMDLs [for Dry Hydrological Conditions](#). Each of these tasks is described below.

Table 5-9a-f

Big Bear Lake Nutrient TMDL Implementation  
Plan/Schedule Report Due Dates

Task	Description	Compliance Date-As soon As Possible but No Later Than
<b>TMDL Phase 1</b>		
Task 1	Establish New Waste Discharge Requirements for -Nutrient Sources	(*6 months after BPA approval*)
Task 2	Establish New Waste Discharge Requirements for Lake Restoration Activities	(*18 months after BPA approval*)
Task 3	Revise Existing Waste Discharge Requirements	(*6 months after BPA approval*)
Task 4	Nutrient Water Quality Monitoring Program 4.1 -Watershed-wide Nutrient Monitoring Plan(s) 4.2 Big Bear Lake Nutrient Monitoring Plan(s)	Plan/schedule due (*3 months after BPA approval*)  Annual reports due February 15
Task 5	Atmospheric Deposition Determination	Plan/schedule due (*1 year after BPA approval*)
<a href="#">Task 6</a>	<a href="#">Big Bear Lake – Lake Management Plan, including: 6A. Big Bear Lake and Watershed Model Updates 6B. Big Bear Lake In-Lake Sediment Nutrient Reduction Plan 6C. Big Bear Lake Aquatic Plant Management Plan</a>	<a href="#">Plan/schedule due (*1 year after BPA approval*)</a>  <a href="#">Annual reports due February 15</a>
<del>Task 6</del>	<del>Big Bear Lake and Watershed Model Updates</del>	<del>Plan/schedule due (*6 months after BPA approval*)</del>
<del>Task 7</del>	<del>Big Bear Lake In-Lake Sediment Nutrient Reduction Plan</del>	<del>Plan/schedule due (*1 year after BPA approval*)</del>
<del>Task 8</del>	<del>Big Bear Lake Aquatic Plant Management Plan</del>	<del>Plan/schedule due (*1 year after BPA approval*)*</del>  <del>5 year report due (*5 years after Regional Board approval of plan/schedule); thereafter, annual reports due February 15</del>
<del>Task 99</del>	<del>Big Bear Lake Multimetric Index Development Plan</del>	<del>Plan/schedule due (*1 year after BPA approval*)</del>
<b>TMDL Phase 2</b>		

Task <del>7</del> <sup>10</sup>	Review/ <del>Revision and Revise</del> of Big Bear Lake Water Quality Standards/ <del>Nutrient Water Quality Objectives</del> <a href="#">7.1 Review/Revise Nutrient Water Quality Objectives</a> <a href="#">7.2 Development of biocriteria</a> <a href="#">7.3 Development of natural background definition</a>	December 31, <del>2015</del> <sup>2010</sup>
Task <del>8</del> <sup>11</sup>	Review Big Bear Lake Tributary Data	December 31, 2008
Task <del>9</del> <sup>12</sup>	Develop TMDLs, WLAs and LAs for wet and/or average hydrological conditions	December 31, 2012
Task <del>10</del> <sup>13</sup>	Review of TMDLs/WLAs/LAs	Once every 3 years

[Note: BPA => Basin Plan Amendment]

### Task 1: Establish New Waste Discharge Requirements for Nutrient Sources

On or before (\*6 months from the effective date of this BPA), the Regional Board shall issue the following new waste discharge requirements

- 1.1 Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) or Conditional Waiver of WDRs to the US Forest Service to incorporate the nutrient load allocations, [compliance schedule](#) and monitoring and reporting requirements for Forested Areas.
- 1.2 Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) or Conditional Waiver of WDRS to the Big Bear Mountain Resorts to incorporate the nutrient load allocation, [compliance schedule](#) and monitoring and reporting requirements.

Other nutrient discharges will be addressed and permitted as appropriate.

### Task 2: Establish New Waste Discharge Requirements for Lake Restoration Activities

On or before (\*18 months from the effective date of this BPA), the Regional Board shall issue the following new waste discharge requirements

NPDES Permit to the US Forest Service, the State of California, Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County Flood Control District, the City of Big Bear Lake, and Big Bear Mountain Resorts for Lake restoration activities, including, but not limited to alum treatment and/or herbicide treatment. Requirements specified in these Waste Discharge Requirements, shall be developed using the Aquatic Plant Management Plan and Schedule submitted pursuant to Task ~~6C~~<sup>8</sup>.

### Task 3: Review and/or Revise Existing Waste Discharge Requirements

Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) have been issued by the Regional Board regulating discharge of various types of wastes in the Big Bear Lake watershed. On or before (\*6 months from the effective date of this Basin Plan amendment\*), these WDRs shall be reviewed and revised as necessary to incorporate [the](#) nutrient wasteload allocations, [compliance schedule](#) and TMDL monitoring [and reporting](#) requirements.

3.1 Waste Discharge Requirements for the San Bernardino County Flood Control and Transportation District, the County of San Bernardino and the Incorporated Cities of San Bernardino County within the Santa Ana Region, Areawide Urban Runoff, NPDES No. CAS 618036 (Regional Board Order No. R8-2002-0012). The current Order has provisions to address TMDL issues. In light of these provisions, revision of the Order may not be necessary to address TMDL requirements.

3.2 State of California, Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Stormwater Permit  
Provision E.1 of Order No. 99-06-DWQ requires Caltrans to maintain and implement a Storm Water Management Plan (SWMP). Annual updates of the SWMP needed to maintain an effective program are required to be submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board.

Provision E.2 of Order No. 99-06-DWQ requires Caltrans to submit a Regional Workplan by April 1 of each year for the Executive Officer's approval. As part of the annual update of the SWMP and Regional Workplan, Caltrans shall submit plans and schedules for conducting the monitoring and reporting requirements specified in Task 4 and the special studies required in Tasks ~~6, 7, 8 and 9~~.

## Task 4: Monitoring

### 4.1 Watershed-wide Nutrient Water Quality Monitoring Program

No later than (\*3 months from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment \*), the US Forest Service, the State of California, Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County Flood Control District, the City of Big Bear Lake and Big Bear Mountain Resorts shall, as a group, submit to the Regional Board for approval a proposed watershed-wide nutrient monitoring program that will provide data necessary to review and update the Big Bear Lake Nutrient TMDLs, to determine specific sources of nutrients and to develop TMDLs for other hydrological conditions. Data to be collected and analyzed shall address, at a minimum, determination of compliance with the nitrogen and phosphorus dry condition TMDLs, including the WLAs and LAs.

At a minimum, the proposed plan shall include the collection of samples at the stations specified in Table 5-9a-g and shown in Figure 5-7, at the frequency specified in Table 5-9a-h. Modifications to the required sampling stations, sampling frequencies and constituents to be monitored (see below) will be considered upon request by the stakeholders, accompanied by a report that describes the rationale for the proposed changes and identifies recommended alternatives. ~~If one or more of these monitoring stations are not included, rationale shall be provided and proposed alternative monitoring locations shall be identified in the proposed monitoring plan.~~ In addition to water quality samples, every two weeks on a year-round basis, visual monitoring (including documenting flow type and stage) determinations shall be made at all stations shown in Table 5-9a-h. Flow measurements will be required each time water quality samples are obtained.

At a minimum, samples shall be analyzed for the following constituents:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| • <del>T</del> total nitrogen   | • <del>A</del> ammonia nitrogen         |
| • <del>N</del> itrate + nitrite nitrogen  | • <del>T</del> total dissolved nitrogen |
| • <del>T</del> total phosphorus   | • <del>O</del> ortho-phosphate (SRP)    |
| • <del>T</del> total dissolved phosphorus   | • <del>T</del> emperature               |
| • <u>Suspended sediment concentration</u> <del>total-suspended solids (TSS)</del> | • <del>T</del> turbidity                |
| • <del>C</del> hlorophyll <i>a</i>  | • pH                                    |
| • <del>D</del> issolved oxygen  | • <del>C</del> onductivity              |
| • <del>A</del> lkalinity  | • <del>H</del> ardness                  |
| • <u>Bedload concentration</u>  | • <u>Grain size</u>                     |
| • <u>Total nitrogen in sediment</u>   | • <u>Total phosphorus in sediment</u>   |

Note: Chlorophyll *a* ~~to be will only be~~ collected and analyzed only from May 1- October 31 of each year at the frequencies described in Table 5-9a-h; ~~Bear Creek outlet will not be sampled for chlorophyll *a*~~ sampling not required at Bear Creek outlet.

In addition, the proposed plan shall include a proposed plan and schedule for development of a Big Bear Lake Sedimentation Processes Plan for the determination of nutrient loads associated with sediment. At a minimum, the proposed plan shall include the placement of sediment traps at the mouths of Rathbun, Knickerbocker, Grout and Boulder Creeks to determine the rate of influx of sediment and particulate nutrients to Big Bear Lake, as specified in Table 5-9a-g and shown in Figure 5-7, at the specified frequency indicated in Table 5-9a-h. Modifications to the required sampling stations, sampling frequencies and constituents to be

monitored will be considered upon request by the stakeholders, accompanied by a report that describes the rationale for the proposed changes and identifies recommended alternatives. The proposed monitoring plan shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting. An annual report summarizing the data collected for the year and evaluating compliance with the WLAs/LAs shall be submitted by February 15 of each year.

In lieu of this coordinated monitoring plan, one or more of the parties identified above may submit a proposed individual or group monitoring plan for Regional Board approval. Any such individual or group monitoring plan is due no later than (*\*3 months from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment\**) and shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting. An annual report of data collected pursuant to approved individual/group plan(s) shall be submitted by February 15 of each year. The report shall summarize the data and evaluate compliance with the WLAs/LAs.



Table 5-9a-g

Big Bear Lake Watershed  
Minimum Required Sampling Station Locations

Station Number	Station Description
MWDC2	Bear Creek Outlet
MWDC3	Grout Creek at Hwy 38
MWDC4	Rathbun Creek at Sandalwood Ave.
MWDC5	Summit Creek at Swan Dr.
MWDC6	Rathbun Creek below the Zoo
MWDC8	Knickerbocker Creek at Hwy 18
MWDC13	Boulder Creek at Hwy 18

Note: Bear Creek outlet to be sampled monthly from March -November

At a minimum, samples shall be analyzed at the frequencies specified in Table 5-9a-h:

Table 5-9a-h


Big Bear Lake Watershed  
Sampling Frequency

Flow type	Months monitoring is required	Frequency
Baseflow	January 1 – December 31	Once/month when baseflow is present;
Snow <del>melt-melt</del>	January 1 – May 31 <sup>1</sup>	Varied -See note 2 below
Storm events	January 1 – December 31	3 storms per year <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sampling to begin after the first substantial snowfall resulting in an accumulation of 1.0 inch or more of snow

<sup>2</sup> Samples to be collected daily for the first three days of the snow~~melt-melt~~ period. If ambient air temperatures remain above freezing after three days have passed, snow~~melt-melt~~ sampling will then be performed once a week for the following three weeks or until the snow~~melt-melt~~ period ceases. Snow~~melt-melt~~ cessation will be determined by one of the following: a) ambient air temperatures drop below freezing during most of the day; or b) a storm/rain precipitation event occurs after the snow~~melt-melt~~ event was initiated. Beginning March 15<sup>th</sup> of each year, snow~~melt-melt~~ flows will most likely be continuous since ambient air temperatures will usually remain above freezing. From March 15<sup>th</sup> through May 31 of each year, snow~~melt-melt~~ sampling events will be conducted daily for the first two days of a snow~~melt-melt~~ event and then once a week thereafter until the spring runoff period has ended or the tributary station location shows no signs of daily flows for one week. Flow status will be evaluated in the afternoon, when ambient air temperatures are highest and flow potential is greatest.

<sup>3</sup> Two storm events to be sampled during October – March; 1 storm event to be sampled during April – September. For each storm event, eight samples across the hydrograph are to be collected.



**Figure 5-7 – Big Bear Lake Watershed Nutrient TMDL Water Quality Stations**

#### 4.2 Big Bear Lake: In-Lake Nutrient Monitoring Program

No later than *(\*3 months from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment \*)*, the US Forest Service, the State of California, Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County Flood Control District, the City of Big Bear Lake, and Big Bear Mountain Resorts shall, as a group, submit to the Regional Board for approval a proposed Big Bear Lake nutrient monitoring program that will provide data necessary to review and update the Big Bear Lake Nutrient TMDLs, and to develop TMDLs for other hydrological conditions. Data to be collected and analyzed shall address, at a minimum: (1) determination of compliance with ~~interim and final~~ nitrogen, phosphorus and chlorophyll ~~a~~; numeric targets; and (2) refinement of the in-lake model for the purposes of TMDL review and development.

At a minimum, the proposed plan shall include the collection of samples at the stations specified in Table 5-9a-i and shown in Figure 5-8, at the specified frequency indicated in Table 5-9a-i. Modifications to the required sampling stations, sampling frequencies and constituents to be monitored (see below) will be considered upon request by the stakeholders, accompanied by a report that describes the rationale for the proposed changes and identifies recommended alternatives. With the exception of hardness, alkalinity, total

organic carbon (TOC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and chlorophyll *a*, each sample to be analyzed shall be collected as a photic zone composite (from the surface to 2 times the secchi depth) and as a bottom discrete (0.5 meters off the surface bottom) sample. Hardness, alkalinity, TOC, DOC, and chlorophyll *a* shall be collected as photic zone composites. Dissolved oxygen, water temperature, turbidity, specific conductance, and pH shall be measured at 1-meter intervals from the surface to 0.5 meters from the bottom using a multi-parameter water quality meter. Water clarity shall be measured with a secchi disk.

At a minimum, in-lake samples must be analyzed for the following constituents:

- ~~S~~pecific conductance
- ~~W~~ater temperature
- ~~C~~hlorophyll *a*
- ~~T~~otal nitrogen
- ~~N~~itrate + nitrite nitrogen
- ~~T~~otal phosphorus
- ~~T~~otal hardness
- ~~T~~otal dissolved phosphorus
- ~~D~~issolved organic carbon (DOC)
- ~~T~~otal dissolved nitrogen
- ~~D~~issolved oxygen
- ~~W~~ater clarity (secchi depth)
- ~~A~~mmonia nitrogen
- ~~A~~lkalinity
- ~~T~~urbidity
- ~~O~~ertho-phosphate (SRP)
- ~~T~~otal suspended solids (TSS)
- pH
- ~~T~~otal dissolved solids (TDS)
- ~~T~~otal organic carbon (TOC)


The monitoring plan shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting. An annual report summarizing the data collected for the year and evaluating compliance with the ~~TMDLs/WLAS/LAs~~ ~~TMDL~~ shall be submitted by February 15 of each year.

Table 5-9a-i

Big Bear Lake Minimum Required Sampling Station Locations

Station Number	Station Description
MWDL1	Big Bear Lake – Dam
MWDL2	Big Bear Lake – Gilner Point
MWDL6	Big Bear Lake – Mid Lake Middle
MWDL9	Big Bear Lake – Stanfield Middle

Frequency of sampling at all stations: for all constituents except TOC and DOC, monthly from March – November; bi-weekly (i.e., every other week) from June 1 through October 31. TOC and DOC to be monitored four times per year (quarterly) from January through December.



**Figure 5-8 Big Bear Lake TMDL Monitoring Stations**

In lieu of this coordinated monitoring plan, one or more of the parties identified above may submit a proposed individual or group monitoring plan for Regional Board approval. Any such individual or group monitoring plan is due no later than *(\*3 months from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment \*)* and shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting. An annual report of data collected pursuant to approved individual/group plan(s), shall be submitted by February 15 of each year. The report shall summarize the data and evaluate compliance with the numeric targets.

#### **Task 5: Atmospheric Deposition Determination**

No later than *(\*1 year from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment \*)*, the Regional Board, in coordination with local stakeholders, the South Coast Air Quality Management District and the California Air Resources Board, shall develop a plan and schedule for quantifying atmospheric deposition of nutrients in the Big Bear Lake watershed.

**Task 6: Big Bear Lake – Lake Management Plan**

No later than (\*1 year from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment \*), the US Forest Service, the State of California, Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County Flood Control District, the City of Big Bear Lake, and Big Bear Mountain Resorts, shall, as a group, submit to the Regional Board for approval a proposed Lake Management Plan for Big Bear Lake. The purpose of the plan is to identify a coordinated and comprehensive strategy for management of the lake and surrounding watershed to address restoration and protection of the lake's beneficial uses.

The plan shall include the following:

- A) A proposed plan and schedule for updating the existing Big Bear Lake watershed nutrient model and the Big Bear Lake in-lake nutrient model. The plan and schedule must take into consideration additional data and information that are or will be generated from the **required** TMDL monitoring programs (Tasks 4.1 and 4.2, above).
- B) A proposed plan and schedule for in-lake sediment nutrient reduction for Big Bear Lake. The proposed plan shall include an evaluation of the applicability of various in-lake treatment technologies to support development of a long-term strategy for control of nutrients from the sediment. The submittal shall also contain a proposed sediment nutrient monitoring program to evaluate the effectiveness of any strategies implemented.
- C) The proposed plan shall include an evaluation of the applicability of various in-lake treatment technologies to control noxious and nuisance aquatic plants. The plan shall also include a description of the monitoring **conducted and proposed** to track aquatic plant **diversity, coverage, and biomass**. Data to be collected and analyzed shall address, at a minimum, determination of compliance with the numeric targets for macrophyte coverage and percentage of nuisance aquatic vascular plant species (see 1.A., above).

In addition, at a minimum, the proposed plan shall also address the following:

- The plan shall be based on identified **and acceptable** goals for lake capacity, biological resources and recreational opportunities. **Acceptable goals shall be identified in coordination with the Regional Board and other responsible agencies, including the California Department of Fish and Game and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.**
- The plan shall include a proposed plan and schedule for the development of biocriteria for Big Bear Lake. **(This is intended to complement Regional Board efforts to develop biocriteria and to signal the parties' commitment to participate substantively.)**
- The plan must **identify a scientifically defensible** methodology for measuring changes in the capacity of the lake.
- The proposed plan shall identify recommended short and long-term strategies for control and management of sediment and dissolved and particulate nutrient inputs to the lake.
- The plan shall also integrate the beneficial use survey information required to be developed pursuant to the Regional Board's March 3, 2005, Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Standards Certification for Big Bear Lake Nutrient/Sediment Remediation Project, City of Big Bear Lake, County of San Bernardino, California. The purpose of the beneficial use survey is to correlate beneficial uses of the lake with lake bottom contours. The survey **is required to be conducted throughout** the lake. The survey **will** determine the location and the quality of beneficial uses of the lake and the contours of the lake bottom where these uses occur. The survey **is expected to be used in** regulating future lake dredge projects to maximize the **restoration and protection** of the lake's beneficial uses.

The Big Bear Lake – Lake Management Plan shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting. Once approved, the plan shall be reviewed and revised as necessary at least once

every three years. The review and revision shall take into account assessments of the efficacy of control/management strategies implemented and relevant requirements of new or revised TMDLs for Big Bear Lake and its watershed. An annual report summarizing the data collected for the year and evaluating compliance with the TMDLs/WLAs/LAs shall be submitted by February 15 of each year.

In lieu of this coordinated plan, one or more of the parties identified above may submit a proposed individual or group Big Bear Lake – Lake Management Plan and schedule for approval by the Regional Board. Any such individual or group plan must conform to the requirements specified above and is due no later than (\* 1 year from effective date of the Basin Plan amendment\*). An individual or group plan shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting. An annual report summarizing the data collected for the year and evaluating compliance with the TMDLs/WLAs/LAs shall be submitted by February 15 of each year.

#### **~~Task 6: Update of Watershed and In-Lake Nutrient Models~~**

~~No later than (\*6 months from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment \*), the US Forest Service, the State of California, Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County Flood Control District, the City of Big Bear Lake, and Big Bear Mountain Resorts, shall, as a group, submit to the Regional Board for approval a proposed plan and schedule for updating the existing Big Bear Lake Watershed Nutrient Model and the Big Bear Lake in-lake nutrient model. The plan and schedule must take into consideration additional data and information that are or will be generated from the respective TMDL monitoring programs (Tasks 4.1 and 4.2, above).~~

~~The plan for updating the Watershed and In-lake Models shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting.~~

~~In lieu of this coordinated plan, one or more of the parties identified above may submit a proposed individual or group Watershed and In-lake Nutrient Model Update Plan for approval by the Regional Board. Any such individual or group Plan is due no later than (\*6 months from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment\*) and shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting.~~

#### **~~Task 7: Big Bear Lake Sediment Nutrient Reduction Plan~~**

~~No later than (\*1 year from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment \*), the US Forest Service, the State of California, Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County Flood Control District, the City of Big Bear Lake, and Big Bear Mountain Resorts, shall, as a group, submit to the Regional Board for approval a proposed plan and schedule for in-lake sediment nutrient reduction for Big Bear Lake. The proposed plan shall include an evaluation of the applicability of various in-lake treatment technologies to support development of a long-term strategy for control of nutrients from the sediment. The submittal shall also contain a proposed sediment nutrient monitoring program to evaluate the effectiveness of any strategies implemented.~~

~~The Big Bear Lake In-lake Sediment Nutrient Reduction Plan shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting.~~

~~In lieu of this coordinated plan, one or more of the parties identified above may submit a proposed individual or group In-lake Sediment Nutrient Reduction Plan for approval by the Regional Board. Any such individual~~



or group Plan is due no later than (*\*1 year from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment\**) and shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting.

#### **~~Task 8: Big Bear Lake Aquatic Plant Management Plan~~**

No later than (*\*1 year from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment \**), the US Forest Service, the State of California, Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County Flood Control District, the City of Big Bear Lake, and Big Bear Mountain Resorts, shall, as a group, submit to the Regional Board for approval a proposed plan and schedule for management of in-lake aquatic plants (macrophytes). The proposed plan shall include an evaluation of the applicability of various in-lake treatment technologies to control the presence of noxious and nuisance aquatic plants. The plan shall also include monitoring and tracking aquatic plants. Data to be collected and analyzed shall address, at a minimum, determination of compliance with the final numeric targets for macrophyte coverage and percentage of nuisance aquatic vascular plant species (see 1.B, above).

The Big Bear Lake Aquatic Plant Management Plan shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting. A report summarizing the data collected each year during the initial 5-year period and evaluating compliance with the numeric targets shall be submitted by February 15 after the first 5-year period. Thereafter, the report shall be submitted annually by February 15 of each year.

In lieu of this coordinated plan, one or more of the parties identified above may submit a proposed individual or group Aquatic Plant Management Plan for approval by the Regional Board. Any such individual or group Plan is due no later than (*\*1 year from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment\**) and shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting. A report summarizing the data collected each year during the initial 5-year period and evaluating compliance with the numeric targets shall be submitted by February 15 after the first 5-year period. Thereafter, the report shall be submitted annually by February 15 of each year.

#### **~~Task 9: Big Bear Lake Multimetric Index Development Plan~~**

No later than (*\*1 year from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment \**), the US Forest Service, the State of California, Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County Flood Control District, the City of Big Bear Lake, and Big Bear Mountain Resorts, shall, as a group, submit to the Regional Board for approval a proposed plan and schedule for development of a multimetric index for Big Bear Lake. At a minimum, the plan shall include procedures for incorporating biological, chemical and physical parameters to be used for evaluating Big Bear Lake. The plan shall also include sampling recommendations to calculate trophic state, aquatic macrophyte biomass and species, fish assemblages, shore-zone habitat, phytoplankton, and zooplankton for effective assessment. These monitoring recommendations should be integrated with ongoing in-lake and watershed monitoring (Tasks 4.1 and 4.2).

The Big Bear Lake Multimetric Management Plan shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting.

In lieu of this coordinated plan, one or more of the parties identified above may submit a proposed individual or group Multimetric Index Development Plan and schedule for approval by the Regional Board. Any such individual or group Plan is due no later than (*\*1 year from effective date of this Basin Plan amendment\**) and shall be implemented upon Regional Board approval at a duly noticed public meeting.

#### **Task ~~7~~40: Review and Revision of Big Bear Lake Water Quality Standards ~~Water Quality Objectives~~**

By December 31, ~~2015~~2010, the Regional Board shall:

7.1 ~~R~~-review/~~revise and revise~~ as necessary the total inorganic nitrogen and total phosphorus numeric water quality objectives for Big Bear Lake. The Regional Board shall also consider the development of narrative or numeric objectives for other indicators of impairment (e.g., chlorophyll *a*, macrophyte coverage and species composition), in lieu of or in addition to review/revision of the numeric objectives for phosphorus and nitrogen.

7.2 Develop biocriteria for Big Bear Lake.

7.3 Develop a definition for natural background sources of nutrients (and other constituents) to Big Bear Lake and its tributaries.

Given budgetary constraints, completion of ~~these~~ tasks ~~are~~ is likely to require substantive contributions from interested parties.

#### **Task ~~8~~11: Review of Big Bear Lake Tributary Data**

No later than December 2008, the Regional Board shall review data collected on Rathbun Creek, Summit Creek and Grout Creek to determine whether beneficial uses of these tributaries are impaired by nutrients. If the Creeks are found to be impaired by nutrients, the Regional Board shall develop a TMDL development project plan and schedule.

If these tributaries are found not to be impaired by nutrients, Regional Board shall schedule the delisting of the tributaries from the 303(d) list of impaired waters at the earliest opportunity.

#### **Task ~~9~~12: Development of TMDLs for Wet and/or Average ~~and/or Wet~~ Hydrological Conditions**

No later than December 31, 2012, the Regional Board shall utilize additional water quality data and information collected pursuant to monitoring program requirements (Tasks 4 and 5) and model updates (Task 6A) to develop proposed nutrient TMDLs for Big Bear Lake for ~~wet~~average and/or ~~average~~wet hydrological conditions. Completion of this task is contingent on the collection of requisite data for wet and/or average hydrological conditions.

#### **Task ~~10~~13: Review/Revision of the Big Bear Lake Dry Hydrological Conditions Nutrient TMDL (TMDL “Re-opener”)**

The basis for the Dry Hydrological Conditions TMDLs and implementation plan and schedule will be re-evaluated at least once every three years<sup>1</sup> to determine the need for modifying the allocations, numeric targets and TMDLs. Regional Board staff will continue to review all data and information generated pursuant to the TMDL requirements on an ongoing basis. Based on results generated through the monitoring programs, special studies and/or modeling analyses, changes to the TMDLs may be warranted. Such changes will be considered through the Basin Plan Amendment process.

The Regional Board is committed to the review of these TMDLs every three years, or more frequently if warranted by these or other studies.

---

<sup>1</sup> The three-year schedule is tied to the 3 year triennial review schedule.



## References

1. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region. Staff Report on the Nutrient Total Maximum Daily Loads for Big Bear Lake, ~~June~~May, 2005. |